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#### Abstract

Two new mononuclear cobalt(II) complexes $[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{ntb})(\mathrm{pic})]\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right) \cdot\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right)_{2.35}$ (1) and $[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{ntb})(\mathrm{nic})]\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right) \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ (2) were synthesized and structurally characterized, where ntb is tris(2-benzimidazolylmethyl)amine, pic is the anion of picolinic acid, and nic is the anion of nicotinic acid. The X-ray analysis indicates that the $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{II})$ center is six-coordinate in distorted octahedral and five-coordinate in distorted trigonal bipyramidal geometry for $\mathbf{1}$ and 2, respectively. In 1, the picolinate anion coordinates to $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{II})$ in a bidentate $\mu_{2}-\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{O}$ chelating mode. In 2, the nicotinate anion coordinates with $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{II})$ through a monodentate carboxylate oxygen. 1-D chain structures were formed by intermolecular hydrogen bonds in the two complexes and $\pi-\pi$ interactions are important for the stabilization of the structures.
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## 1. Introduction

The imidazole group of histidine plays a key role in the active sites of many metalloproteins [1, 2]. Ligands containing imidazole and benzimidazole are of great interest due to their similarity to histidine imidazole, one of them is the benzimidazolederived ligand tris(2-benzimidazolylmethyl)amine (ntb) (scheme 1). Various metal complexes containing ntb have been studied [3-22] since the first report of the synthesis of ntb in 1977 [23]; their synthesis and structures have also been reviewed [24, 25]. To our knowledge, only a few structurally characterized cobalt(II) complexes with ntb were reported in which $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ [26], $\mathrm{NCS}^{-}$[27], and $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$[28-31] were used as coligands, but that with picolinic acid and nicotinic acid as coligands have not been reported. In this study, two new mononuclear cobalt(II) complexes with ntb $[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{ntb})(\mathrm{pic})]\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)$. $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right)_{2.35}(\mathbf{1})$ and $[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{ntb})($ nic $)]\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right) \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ (2) were synthesized and characterized for the first time.

Picolinic acid and nicotinic acid were chosen as coligands in our study mainly due to the following reasons: (1) Picolinic acid and nicotinic acid are isomers of pyridine
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Scheme 1. Structure of ntb ligand.
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Scheme 2. Bonding modes of picolinic acid (a) and nicotinic acid (b-f) in cobalt(II) complexes.
carboxylic acid with both N and O donors and exhibit different coordination modes in previously published cobalt(II) complexes as shown in scheme 2 (a-e). Picolinic acid usually coordinates to $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{II})$ in a chelating $\mu_{2}-\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{O}$ mode (scheme 2a) [32-35]; nicotinic acid coordinates to $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{II})$ in four bonding modes, monodentate with pyridine nitrogen (scheme 2b) [36-38], bidentate with both N and O (scheme 2c) [39] and tridentate $\mu_{3}-\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{O}$ (scheme 2d, e) [40-42]. The bonding of picolinic acid and nicotinic acid may be affected by $\mathrm{CoN}_{4}$ coordination environment formed by $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{II})$ and ntb. (2) Picolinic acid and nicotinic acid are able to link metals to form various $0-\mathrm{D}, 1-\mathrm{D}, 2-\mathrm{D}$, or 3-D structures through hydrogen bonds, $\pi-\pi$ stacking, or other weak interactions [43-45].

In the two cobalt(II) complexes of our study, picolinate coordinates to Co (II) in the bidentate $\mu_{2}-\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{O}$ chelating mode and the nicotinate in the monodentate mode with carboxylate oxygen (scheme 2 f ). Co(II) ion in $\mathbf{1}$ is six-coordinate in distorted octahedral coordination geometry; this is a new example comparing with the previously reported five-coordinate cobalt(II) complexes of ntb [26-31].

## 2. Experimental

### 2.1. Reagents and measurements

All chemicals and solvents were purchased from commercial sources and used without purification. Sodium nicotinate was readily prepared by reacting nicotinic acid with a
stoichiometric amount of NaOH in water. Ligand ntb was synthesized according to the published procedures [46]. Elemental C, H, and N analyses were performed using an Elemental Vario MICRO CUBE (Germany) elemental analyzer. IR spectra were taken as KBr pellets and recorded on a Bruker TENSOR 27 FT-IR spectrophotometer from 4000 to $400 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The UV-Vis spectrum was measured on a TU-1800PC UV-Vis spectrophotometer from 200 to 900 nm .

### 2.2. Synthesis of the complexes

2.2.1. Synthesis of $[\mathbf{C o}(\mathrm{ntb})(\mathbf{p i c})]\left(\mathbf{C l O}_{\mathbf{4}}\right) \cdot\left(\mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{O H}\right)_{2.35}$ (1). Solid ntb $(0.081 \mathrm{~g}$, $0.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a stirred methanol $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ solution of $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $(0.073 \mathrm{~g}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ to give a clear pink solution; to this solution, a methanol $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ solution of picolinic acid $(0.025 \mathrm{~g}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ and piperidine $(0.017 \mathrm{~g}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}$, to deprotonate picolinic acid) was added dropwise. The resulting solution was stirred for 4 h and then filtered. Dark red crystals of the quality of X-ray structure analysis were formed by slow evaporation of the filtrate at room temperature for 1 week. Yield: $0.089 \mathrm{~g}(59 \%)$. FT-IR ( KBr pellet, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): $3420 \mathrm{~s} / \mathrm{br}, 3206 \mathrm{~s}, 2924 \mathrm{~m}, 1644 \mathrm{~s}, 1621 \mathrm{~s}$, $1595 \mathrm{~s}, 1474 \mathrm{~s}, 1454 \mathrm{~s}, 1371 \mathrm{~s}, 1121 \mathrm{~s}, 746 \mathrm{~s}$. The elemental analysis shows that 1.35 lattice methanols were replaced by one water during storage in air. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{ClCoN}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ : C, 50.45 ; H, 4.23; N, 15.18. Found: C, 50.67 ; H, 3.89; N, 15.57.
2.2.2. Synthesis of $[\mathbf{C o}(\mathrm{ntb})(\mathrm{nic})]\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right) \cdot \mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{O H}$ (2). To a stirred methanol ( 20 mL ) solution of $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.073 \mathrm{~g}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol})$, solid ntb $(0.081 \mathrm{~g}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to form a clear pink solution. To this solution, a methanol $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ solution of sodium nicotinate $(0.029 \mathrm{~g}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise; the purple solution was stirred for 4 h and then filtered. After 2 weeks, purple crystals were obtained by slow evaporation of the filtrate at room temperature. Yield: 0.092 g ( $63 \%$ ). FT-IR ( KBr pellet, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): $3420 \mathrm{~s} / \mathrm{br}, 3231 \mathrm{~s} / \mathrm{br}, 2915 \mathrm{~m}, 2767 \mathrm{~m}, 1617 \mathrm{~s}, 1598 \mathrm{~s}, 1472 \mathrm{~s}, 1455 \mathrm{~s}, 1375 \mathrm{~s}$, 1120 s, 745 s. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{CoN}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{Cl}$ : C, $51.70 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.07$; N, 15.57. Found: C, 51.36; H, 3.81; N, 15.81.

### 2.3. X-ray crystallography

The crystals of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ were mounted on the Rigaku Saturn724+ diffractometer and Bruker Smart 1000 area detector, respectively, both equipped with graphitemonochromated $\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation $(\lambda=0.71073 \AA)$. The determination of unit cell parameters and data collection were performed at 93(2) K and 293(2) K for $\mathbf{1}$ and 2, respectively. Empirical absorption correction was applied to the collected reflections [47]. First, the structures were solved by direct methods, and second by Fourier difference techniques and refined using full-matrix least-squares methods via SHELXL97 [48]. The non-hydrogen atoms were subjected to anisotropic refinement and the hydrogens were located geometrically. One methanol in $\mathbf{1}$ was disordered and modeled to locate at three positions with occupancy of 0.608 for $\mathrm{C}(33), \mathrm{O}(9), 0.395$ for $\mathrm{C}(34)$, $\mathrm{O}(10), 0.347$ for $\mathrm{C}(32), \mathrm{O}(8)$ atoms; the total occupancy of this disordered methanol molecule was 1.35 . The crystal parameters and details of the data collection and refinement for the two complexes are listed in table 1.

Table 1. Crystallographic data and structures refinement for $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$.

| Compound | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{32.35} \mathrm{H}_{34.40} \mathrm{ClCoN}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8.35}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{ClCoN}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ |
| Formula weight | 763.26 | 720.00 |
| Temperature (K) | $93(2)$ | $293(2)$ |
| Crystal system | Triclinic | Triclinic |
| Space group | $P \overline{1}$ | $P \overline{1}$ |
| Unit cell dimensions $\left(\mathrm{A}^{\circ},{ }^{\circ}\right)$ |  |  |
| $a$ | $9.815(2)$ | $9.886(4)$ |
| $b$ | $13.324(3)$ | $12.550(5)$ |
| $c$ | $14.642(3)$ | $13.581(6)$ |
| $\alpha$ | $84.740(10)$ | $82.289(7)$ |
| $\beta$ | $74.780(9)$ | $80.810(7)$ |
| $\gamma$ | $69.511(7)$ | $76.316(7)$ |
| Volume $\left(\AA^{3}\right), Z$ | $1730.8(7), 2$ | $1608.0(11), 2$ |
| Calculated density $\left(\mathrm{g} \mathrm{m}^{-3}\right)$ | 1.465 | 1.487 |
| $F(000)$ | 791 | 742 |
| Crystal size (mm $\left.{ }^{3}\right)$ | $0.43 \times 0.37 \times 0.23$ | $0.30 \times 0.25 \times 0.20$ |
| Absorption coefficient $\left(\mathrm{mm}^{-1}\right)$ | 0.637 | $1.68-25.03$ |
| $\theta$ range $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $3.01-27.00$ | $-11 \leq h \leq 9 ;-12 \leq k \leq 14 ;$ |
| Limiting indices | $-12 \leq h \leq 12 ;-16 \leq k \leq 17 ;$ | $-16 \leq l \leq 16$ |
| Reflections collected | $-14 \leq l \leq 18$ | 6679 |
| Independent reflection | 13,581 | $5648[R(\mathrm{int})=0.0277]$ |
| Data/restraints $/$ parameters | $7275[R(\mathrm{int})=0.0309]$ | $5648 / 0 / 435$ |
| Goodness-of-fit on $F^{2}$ | $7275 / 0 / 499$ | 1.007 |
| Final $R$ indices $[I>2 \sigma(I)]$ | 1.001 | $R_{1}=0.0523, w R_{2}=0.1225$ |
| $R$ indices (all data) | $R_{1}=0.0490, w R_{2}=0.1205$ | $R_{1}=0.0947, w R_{2}=0.1391$ |
| Largest difference peak and hole $\left(\mathrm{e} \AA \mathrm{A}^{-3}\right)$ | $R_{1}=0.0570, w R_{2}=0.1272$ | 0.437 and -0.596 |

## 3. Results and discussion

### 3.1. Description of the structures

3.1.1. $[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{ntb})(\mathrm{pic})]\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right) \cdot\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathbf{O H}\right)_{2.35}$ (1). Complex 1 was obtained by the reaction of $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, ntb, and picolinate in the ratio of $1: 1: 1$ in methanol. The cation structure of $\mathbf{1}$ is shown in figure 1 and the main bond distances and angles are listed in table 2. The structure of $\mathbf{1}$ is composed of $[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{ntb})(\mathrm{pic})]^{+}$, one perchlorate anion, and 2.35 methanols in which one is disordered with the total occupancy of 1.35. The central $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{II})$ is six-coordinate in a distorted octahedral $\mathrm{N}_{5} \mathrm{O}$ ligand set formed by four nitrogens of ntb and one pyridine $\mathrm{N}(8)$ and one carboxylate $\mathrm{O}(1)$ of picolinate in bidentate $\mu_{2}-\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{O}$ chelating mode. Two benzimidazolyl nitrogens $\mathrm{N}(4)$ and $\mathrm{N}(6)$, tertiary amine $\mathrm{N}(1)$, and $\mathrm{O}(1)$ define the equatorial plane and $\mathrm{Co}(1)$ lies $0.114 \AA$ above the plane toward $\mathrm{N}(2)$. Equatorial bond angles are in the range $73.88(7)-114.90(8)^{\circ}$, deviating from $90^{\circ}$ remarkably. The axial positions are occupied by $\mathrm{N}(2)$ and $\mathrm{N}(8)$ with bond lengths of 2.091(2) $\AA$ for $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ and 2.213(2) $\AA$ for $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{N}(8)$. The bond distance between $\operatorname{Co}(1)$ and tertiary amine $\mathrm{N}(1)(0.367 \AA)$ is significantly longer than $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{N}($ benzimidazolyl) (average $2.082(2) \AA$ ); this elongation could also be observed in other $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{II})$ complexes containing ntb [27, 29-31]. The trans angles of $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-$ $\mathrm{N}(8), 169.10(8)^{\circ}, \mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1), 166.48(7)^{\circ}$, and $\mathrm{N}(6)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{N}(4), 136.30(8)^{\circ}$ deviated from $180^{\circ}$ for the ideal octahedron.


Figure 1. The cation structure of $\mathbf{1}$ ( $30 \%$ probability thermal ellipsoids).

Table 2. Selected bond lengths $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for $\mathbf{1}$.

| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $2.0441(18)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{N}(4)$ | $2.064(2)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | ---: |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $2.091(2)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{N}(6)$ | $2.092(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{N}(8)$ | $2.213(2)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $2.449(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{N}(4)$ | $114.90(8)$ | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $93.82(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{N}(6)$ | $102.07(8)$ | $\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $98.48(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{N}(6)$ | $136.308(8)$ | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{N}(8)$ | 76.2467 |
| $\mathrm{~N}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{N}(6)$ | $101.58(8)$ | $\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{N}(8)$ | $82.06(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{N}(8)$ | $169.108(8)$ | $\mathrm{N}(6)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{N}(8)$ | $85.098(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $166.48(7)$ | $\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $74.63(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(8)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $115.68(7)$ | $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $74.74(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(6)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $73.88(7)$ |  |  |

As shown in figure 2, two antiparallel 1-D chains were formed by intermolecular hydrogen bonds $\mathrm{N}(5)-\mathrm{H}(5 \mathrm{~N}) \cdots \mathrm{O}(2)[\mathrm{N}(5) \cdots \mathrm{O}(2) 2.694(3) \AA, \mathrm{N}(5)-\mathrm{H}(5 \mathrm{~N}) \cdots \mathrm{O}(2)$ $142.00^{\circ}$ ] between NH of ntb and uncoordinated oxygen of picolinate. In addition, $\pi-\pi$ stacking interactions ( $\pi \cdots \pi=3.664 \AA$ ) of imidazolyl groups and benzene rings of ntb exist between the two adjacent antiparallel 1-D chains, contributing to the stabilization of the crystal.
3.1.2. $[\mathrm{Co}(\mathbf{n t b})(\mathbf{n i c})]\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right) \cdot \mathbf{C H}_{3} \mathbf{O H}$ (2). Complex 2 was synthesized by the reaction of $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, ntb, and sodium nicotinate in ratio of $1: 1: 1$ in methanol; crystals


Figure 2. 1-D chain structure formed by intermolecular hydrogen bonds in $\mathbf{1}$ along the $b$-axis. $\mathrm{N}(5) \cdots$ (2) $2.694(3) \AA, \mathrm{N}(5)-\mathrm{H}(5 \mathrm{~N}) \cdots \mathrm{O}(2) 142.00^{\circ}$, symmetry codes: $-1+x, y, z$.


Figure 3. The cation structure of $\mathbf{2}$ (30\% probability thermal ellipsoids).
of the product are relatively stable. The cation of $\mathbf{2}$ is illustrated in figure 3 and the selected bond lengths and angles are given in table 3. Complex 2 contains discrete monomeric $[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{ntb})(\mathrm{nic})]^{+}$, one perchlorate, and one lattice methanol. The central $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{II})$ is five-coordinate in a distorted trigonal bipyramidal with the value of Addison $\tau$ parameter being 0.75 [ $\tau=0$ (square pyramid) and 1 (trigonal bipyramid)] [49]. The three

Table 3. Selected bond lengths $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for $\mathbf{2}$.

| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $2.003(3)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{N}(4)$ | $2.059(3)$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $2.053(3)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{N}(6)$ | $2.051(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $2.389(3)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{N}(4)$ | $112.56(12)$ | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $101.77(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{N}(6)$ | $98.92(12)$ | $\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $125.499(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{N}(6)$ | $104.55(12)$ | $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{N}(6)$ | $110.56(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $170.72(11)$ | $\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $76.1111)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(6)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $75.02(12)$ | $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $74.42(11)$ |



Figure 4. 1-D chain structure formed by intermolecular hydrogen bonds in 2 along the $a$-axis. $\mathrm{N}(3) \cdots \mathrm{O}(2)$ $2.802(4), \mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{H}(3) \cdots \mathrm{O}(2) 141.86^{\circ}$; $\mathrm{N}(7) \cdots \mathrm{N}(8) 2.799(5), \mathrm{N}(7)-\mathrm{H}(7) \cdots \mathrm{N}(8) 162.21^{\circ}$, symmetry codes: $1-x,-y, 1-z ; x, y+1, z$.
benzimidazolyl nitrogens $\mathrm{N}(2), \mathrm{N}(4)$, and $\mathrm{N}(6)$ of ntb make up the trigonal plane with an average $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{N}$ (benzimidazolyl) bond length of $2.054(3) \AA$, shorter than that between $\mathrm{Co}(1)$ and amine $\mathrm{N}(1)(2.389(3) \AA)$; similar bond length of $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{N}_{\text {amine }}$ is found in other reported $\operatorname{Co}(\mathrm{II})$ complexes with ntb [27, 29-31]. Co(1) is displaced with a bond distance of $0.525 \AA$ out of the mean plane of $\mathrm{N}(2), \mathrm{N}(4)$, and $\mathrm{N}(6)$ and toward $\mathrm{O}(1)$ with the $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ bond distance of $2.003(3) \AA$. Bond angles of the trigonal plane are in the range $104.55(12)-125.49(13)^{\circ}$, deviating from $120^{\circ}$ of ideal trigonal bipyramid. The axial sites are taken up by $\mathrm{N}(1)$ and carboxylate $\mathrm{O}(1)$ of nicotinate; the bond angle of $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ is $170.72(11)^{\circ}$.

Figure 4 depicts the 1-D chain structure formed by intermolecular hydrogen bonds in 2 along the $a$-axis. The $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}[\mathrm{N}(3) \cdots \mathrm{O}(2)=2.802(4) \AA$ and $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{H}(3) \cdots$ $\left.\mathrm{O}(2)=141.86^{\circ}\right]$ intermolecular hydrogen bonds between NH of ntb and uncoordinated carboxylate oxygen of nicotinate bridge the two adjacent molecules to form a double molecular unit. The double molecular units are further connected through hydrogen bonds $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{N}\left[\mathrm{N}(7) \cdots \mathrm{N}(8)=2.799(5) \AA\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{N}(7)-\mathrm{H}(7) \cdots \mathrm{N}(8)=162.21^{\circ}\right]$ between the NH of ntb and nitrogen of nicotinate to give a 1-D chain structure. Strong $\pi-\pi$ interactions between imidazolyl groups $(\pi \cdots \pi=3.551 \AA)$ of $n t b$ exist in the double

Table 4. Hydrogen bond geometry for $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$.

| $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{A})(\AA)$ | $\angle(\mathrm{DHA})\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{D} \cdots \mathrm{A})(\AA)$ | Symmetry codes |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Complex} \mathbf{1}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}(5)-\mathrm{H}(5 \mathrm{~N}) \cdots \mathrm{O}(2)$ | 1.9500 | 142.00 | $2.694(3)$ | $-1+x, y, z$ |
| Complex 2 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{H}(3) \cdots \mathrm{O}(2)$ | 2.0800 | 141.86 | $2.802(4)$ | $1-x,-y, 1-z$ |
| $\mathrm{~N}(7)-\mathrm{H}(7) \cdots \mathrm{N}(8)$ | 1.9700 | 162.21 | $2.799(5)$ | $x, y+1, z$ |

molecular units and stabilize the chain structure. Bond parameters of hydrogen bonds of the two complexes are summarized in table 4.

### 3.2. Characterizations of the complexes

3.2.1. IR spectra. Complexes $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ show broad absorptions in the range 3100$3500 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ which could be assigned to $\nu(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H})$ for methanol and $\nu(\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H})$ for the benzimidazole ring of $n t b$. The strong absorption band at $1121 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ indicates that ionic perchlorates (Td) are present in the two complexes [12]. High intensity bands at 1644 , 1595 , and $1371 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for $\mathbf{1}$ are associated with $v_{\mathrm{as}}\left(\mathrm{COO}^{-}\right)$and $v_{\mathrm{s}}\left(\mathrm{COO}^{-}\right)$of picolinate [32]; strong bands at 1617,1595 , and $1375 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for 2 are attributed to $\nu_{\mathrm{as}}\left(\mathrm{COO}^{-}\right)$and $v_{\mathrm{s}}\left(\mathrm{COO}^{-}\right)$of nicotinate, suggesting that the carboxylate of picolinate and nicotinate are coordinated to $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{II})$.

### 3.2.2. UV-Vis spectra

Electronic spectra are measured in DMF in the $200-900 \mathrm{~nm}$ range. The UV band of ntb ( 281 nm ) in $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ is blue-shifted to 296 and 287 nm , showing $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ coordination to $\operatorname{Co}($ II) $[4,10,12,50]$. Complex 1 exhibits a broad d-d transition around 531 nm , similar to the reported six-coordinate Co(II) complex of ntb [30]. Bands at 516 and 589 nm in 2 are assigned to $\mathrm{d}-\mathrm{d}$ transitions of $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{II})$. The difference between the visible spectra of the two complexes may be attributed to the different coordination number and coordination geometry of $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{II})$ ion in $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$.

## 4. Conclusion

Two new mononuclear cobalt(II) complexes $[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{ntb})(\mathrm{pic})]\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right) \cdot\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right)_{2.35}$ (1) and $[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{ntb})(\mathrm{nic})]\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right) \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}(\mathbf{2})$ containing tripodal tetradentate ntb were synthesized. Picolinate coordinates to $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{II})$ in a bidentate $\mu_{2}-\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{O}$ chelating mode in $\mathbf{1}$ and nicotinate coordinates with $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{II})$ through monodentate carboxylate oxygen in $\mathbf{2}$. Intermolecular hydrogen bonds form remarkably different 1-D chain structures in the two complexes and $\pi-\pi$ interactions also exist in both complexes.

## Supplementary data

CCDC 749298 and 749297 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ in CIF format. These data can be obtained free of charge via http://www.ccdc.cam. ac.uk or from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB21EZ, UK; Fax: +44 1223 336033; or Email: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk.
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